



Ms. Minakshi Maheshwari,  
R/o 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Sea Flama,  
Dosti Flamingos, Sewri West,  
Mumbai-400015.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
District Administrative Complex, Sector-76,  
SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
District Administrative Complex, Sector-76,  
SAS Nagar.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.2729/2018**

Date of RTI application: 08.05.2018  
Date of First Appeal : 21.06.2018  
Date of Order of FAA or Reply: Nil  
Date of 2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal/complaint: 01.08.2018

**Present:** None on behalf of the Appellant.  
ASI Baljinder Singh Mand, Incharge P.S.: Industrial Area, Mohali – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

The following order was passed by this forum on 05.03.2019:

*“The appellant has an apprehension that a criminal case has been registered against her by some persons inimically disposed to her. She is seeking the copy of the FIR if registered against her in Mohali and some connected information there along as has been enumerated in her original application dated 08.05.2018. She says that even her first appeal has elicited no response and she has been constrained to file second appeal with the Commission.*

*The respondents have filed a written reply with the Commission a copy of which has been handed over to the appellant. Simultaneously a written statement has also been filed by the appellant in furtherance of her cause. Its copy has been transmitted to the respondents also. The parties are advised to go through the contents and file their rejoinder, if any, before the next date of hearing.*



-2-

**Appeal Case No.2729/2018**

*“The respondents have failed to file an intelligible reply to the submissions made by the appellant. Instead they have directed her to visit their office and inspect the record. The appellant submits that she is not conversant with gurmukhi script in which the record is being maintained. Besides she is residing in Mumbai and it is not possible for her to visit them on short notices.*

*The Commission agrees with her submissions and directs the PIO in the office of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali to provide a reply/information to her requisition as made in her application dated 08.05.2018 at Sr. No. 5 from Point (a) to (d) within fifteen days from the receipt of this order. Be it noted that the information has already been delayed inordinately and any further laxity shall be viewed very seriously.*

*The case has come up today. A communication dated 17.01.2019 has been received from Ms. Minakshi Maheshwari wherein she has denied the receipt of information as was desired in the aforementioned order.*

*Ms. Renu Sahu, Incharge PS: Industrial Area, Mohali, is present in person and has submitted a statement in writing wherein it has been contended that the sought for information has been communicated to her vide their letter dated 23.01.2019. The Commission has seen it. Apparently it seems in order. The appellant is desired to react in case she so desires failing which it shall be presumed that she is satisfied with the information thus reported to have been provided to her and the matter shall be disposed accordingly.”*

Contd..page 3



-3-

**Appeal Case No.2729/2018**

A mail has been received from the appellant, wherein she has admitted having received the information. She has not pointed out any substantive/tangible deficiency into the information already sent to her. Rather has observed that it should have been sent through the CPIO. The Commission is not inclined to take notice of it as the same has been signed by the jurisdictional Police In charge who was its custodian. The Commission is convinced that she has been sufficiently informed. No further action is called for. The appeal is **disposed**.

05.03.2019

**Sd/-  
( Yashvir Mahajan )  
State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sawinder Singh,  
S/o Shri Bal Singh,  
PO Shutrana, Tehsil Patran,  
Distt Patiala. 147105.  
Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Patran, Distt Patiala.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.1086/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Complaint
27.07.2018	Nil	Nil	Nil	15.09.2018

**Present:** None on behalf of appellant.  
Sh. Jarnail Singh, Panchayat Secretary, O/o BDPO, Patran – for Respondent.

**ORDER**

The complainant is absent. Nothing has been heard from him. Sh. Jarnail Singh, Panchayat Secretary, O/o BDPO, Patran, submits that the information has been provided to the complainant as desired by the Commission. However, he has not been able to provide a proof of its having dispatched. He has been advised to send a copy of the endorsement sent to the complainant.

To come up on **04.04.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

05.03.2019

Sd/-  
( Yashvir Mahajan )  
State Information Commissioner

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sawinder Singh,  
S/o Shri Bal Singh,  
PO Shutrana, Tehsil Patran,  
Distt Patiala. 147105.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Patran, Distt Patiala.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.1089/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Complaint
03.07.2018	Nil	Nil	Nil	15.09.2018

**Present:** None on behalf of Complainant.  
Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary on behalf of the Respondents.

**ORDER**

On the request of the respondent, the matter is adjourned to **04.04.2019 at 11.30AM.**

05.03.2019

Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Sawinder Singh,  
S/o Shri Bal Singh,  
PO Shutrana, Tehsil Patran,  
Distt Patiala. 147105.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Financial Commissioner,  
Deptt Rural Development & Panchayat,  
SAS Nagar.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.1092/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Complaint
27.07.2018	Nil	Nil	Nil	15.09.2018

**Present:** None on behalf of Complainant.  
Sh. Jarnail Singh, Panchayat Secretary on behalf of the Respondents.

**ORDER**

On the request of the respondent, the matter is adjourned to **04.04.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

Sd/-

05.03.2019

(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate,  
R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road,  
Mandi Mullanpur, Distt. Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Amlah, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.3231/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA
27.05.2018	Nil	27.07.2018	Nil

**Present:** Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta – Appellant.  
None on behalf of the respondents

**Order**

It shall be appropriate to reproduce the order passed on 15.01.2019:

*“The appellant is not present. Sh. Balkar Singh, Superintendent appearing on behalf of the Respondents submits that they have since sent him the information comprised in 692 pages under a registered post. The appellant is directed to respond to the submissions of the respondents failing which it shall be presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided and the matter shall be closed.*

*The appellant is absent on trot.*

*The respondents on the other hand says that complete information has been provided as comprised in more than 600 pages as mentioned above.*

*In view of the request of the appellant the Commission is inclined to afford another opportunity to him to pinpoint any deficiency failing which it shall be presumed that he has nothing more to say and the matter shall be decided on the basis of record on file.”*

The matter has come up today. The respondents are not present. The appellant says that though he has been provided with copious record, but the same is not relevant to his application.

Contd. page...2



-2-

**Appeal Case No.3231/2018**

The appellant has failed to pinpoint any deficiency in writing despite having been given a couple of opportunities. He is again desired to convey to the respondent the specifics of the information not found in the information already supplied to him under intimation to the Commission.

To come up on **04.04.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

**Sd/-**

**05.03.2019**

**( Yashvir Mahajan )  
State Information Commissioner**





Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate,  
R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road,  
Mandi Mullanpur, Distt. Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Inspector General of Police,  
Roop Nagar Range, Roopnagar, Pb.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.3233/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA
27.05.2018	30.06.2018	27.07.2018	Nil

**Present:** Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta – Appellant.  
ASI Ranjit Singh, Incharge, RTI Br., SSP office, Fatehgarh Sahib – for Respondents.

**Order**

The Commission has made the following observations on 04.12.2018:

*“ASI Ranjit Singh, appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that the information is a personal information’ of third parties who have asked the Public Authority not to reveal it. Even so, the respondent says that they had requested the appellant to inspect the record to which he did not respond. They have requested to dismiss the appeal.*

*The appellant is not present. Another opportunity is afforded to him to respond to the submissions of the respondent, if any failing which it shall be presumed that he has nothing to say on the issue and the matter shall be finalized as per record on file.”*



-2-

**Appeal Case No.3233/2018**

The issue has been taken up today. The appellant says that he is asking for an information to safeguard the interest of one of his clients, who allegedly has been harassed by jurisdictional police. The respondents take the plea of a third party's personal information. Such a blanket defence cannot be taken at its face value. They are directed to file a written statement and justify exemption in the light of the provisions of law.

To come up on **04.04.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

**Sd/-**

**05.03.2019**

**( Yashvir Mahajan )  
State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sandeep Joshi,  
Maya Ram Colony,  
Ward No 9, Taraori, Distt Karnal.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Superintendent of Police,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.3599/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal
18.06.2018	Nil	22.07.2018	Nil	19.10.2018

**Present:** None on the behalf Appellant.  
ASI Ranit Singh, Incharge, RTI Branch, SSP Office, Fatehgarh Sahib – for Respondents.

**Order:**

The respondents have produced a copy of an acknowledgement from the appellant in token of having received the information to his satisfaction. His absence suggests that he is satisfied with the information having been provided to him. No further action is warranted.

**Disposed.**

**Sd/-**

**05.03.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sandeep Joshi,  
Maya Ram Colony,  
Ward No 9, Taraori, Distt Karnal.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Superintendent of Police,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.3592/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal
28.05.2018	Nil	22.07.2018	Nil	22.10.2018

**Present:** None on the behalf Appellant.  
ASI Ranit Singh, Incharge, RTI Branch, SSP Office, Fatehgarh Sahib – for Respondents.

**Order:**

The respondents have produced a copy of an acknowledgement from the appellant in token of having received the information to his satisfaction. His absence suggests that he is satisfied with the information having been provided to him. No further action is warranted.

**Disposed.**

05.03.2019

Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Tejinder Singh,  
R/o Village-Bholapur, P.o-Ramgarh,  
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.17/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal
14.06.2018	Nil	12.08.2018	Nil	17.12.2018

**Present:** S. Jasbir Singh on behalf of Appellant.  
Sh. Amarjit Singh, Clerk, SDM Office, Fatehgarh Sahib.

**Order:**

The respondents have sent the information. The Commission has gone through it. It appears to be in order. Photocopy of the same has been handed over to the appellant on spot also. He may like to go through it and file a written reply. To come up on **28.03.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

**05.03.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Tejinder Singh,  
R/o Village-Bholapur, P.o-Ramgarh,  
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner,  
Patiala, Punjab.

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Excise and Taxation Commissioner,  
Mohali, Punjab.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.77/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal
29.09.2018	Nil	01.10.2018	Nil	18.12.2018

**Present:** S. Jasbir Singh on behalf of Appellant.  
None for respondents.

**Order:**

The respondents are absent. No written reply to the notice of the Commission has also been given. The Commission takes serious note of it and advises them to provide the information sought without further loss of time under intimation to the Commission. To come up on **28.03.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

**05.03.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**